MIGRATION, CULTURAL IDENTITY, AND COPING MECHANISMS IN A GLOBALIZED WORLD Ganiev Akhrorjon Doctoral student of Kangwon National University Chuncheon, Gangwon-do, South Korea Email: ganiev@kangwon.ac.kr

Abstract: Migration in this modern-day globalized world serves as a complex challenge toward both cultural identity and psychological well-being. In such cases, migrants try to keep up a balance between maintaining their cultural identity and successfully assimilating into the host societies. The review explores literature related to the triangulation of migration, cultural identity, and coping mechanisms through the lens of cultural psychology and migration studies. Discussion in this article centers on how cultural identity is shaped, transformed, or threatened in relation to migration and how migrants cope with such changes in their cultural identity. A focus on acculturation theory, identity negotiation, and coping frameworks offers insights into migrants' psychological resiliency. The following literature-based analysis tries to bring together cultural psychology and research on migration by providing a comprehensive understanding of the way people maintain or reshape their cultural identity in a widely interconnected world.

Keywords: migration, cultural identity, acculturation, coping mechanisms, globalization, identity negotiation, cultural psychology, resilience, integration, multiculturalism

Introduction

Among the distinguishing marks of the globalized world is migration, which shapes economic, social, and cultural landscapes across the world. In the last couple of decades, migration flows have grown up amazingly, caused by a variety of factors that range from economic opportunity to political instability and environmental crises. However, the process of migration is not limited to the simple physical relocation of people; it entails an in-depth psychological and cultural adjustment process. Of these, the concept of cultural identity-that is, a person's perception of belonging to a particular culture-plays an important role in defining how migrants look upon themselves and relate both to their home and host cultures.

Cultural identity is multidimensional, dynamic, and complex in nature; it embodies elements of language, religion, customs, and tradition. It is, however, what a migrant does to negotiate this aspect of his identity against the backdrop of new cultural norms and expectations within the host country that poses something

of a challenge. Migration can put individuals in a dilemma of whether to preserve their native culture and adapt to the predominant culture of the host society. This perhaps could be one of the reasons that acculturation-a process of taking up a new culture while retaining some of one's old identity-throws dual pressures on an individual.

The most major issue migrants go through is cultural dissonance or "inconsistency between cultural values and the host country's values". This dissonance can affect not only personal well-being but also social interactions. Often, migrants feel the sort of "cultural loss," meaning they are alienated from their roots or resist the integration by forming ghettos of their original culture. Such cultural turmoil can cause psychological distress and thus requires coping measures to handle the emotional and social setbacks that occur.

These coping mechanisms may be individual, social, and cultural in nature. Some of the useful psychological frameworks that explain adjustment to a variety of challenges faced by migrants include acculturation theory and identity negotiation models. Resilience, conceptualized as the positive ability of adaptation in light of adversity, is also a key player in how migrants navigate their new environments. This paper aims to analyze the interactions among migration, cultural identity, and coping mechanisms by providing a literature-based review of major theoretical contributions and empirical findings in the field.

Literature Review

Research into migration and cultural identity has long been of great interest to cultural psychologists, sociologists, and anthropologists. Among the major conceptualizations within this domain, one prominent framework is that of acculturation theory, first advanced by Berry (1997). Berry outlines four strategies of acculturation: integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization. Integration refers to a bicultural approach whereby migrants hold on to their cultural heritage while still assimilating part of the host culture; assimilation refers to the total adoption of the host culture, while separation indicates retention of the original culture and at least a minimum contact with the host society; marginalization, on the other hand, is a case where both cultures are rejected, yielding alienation.

Other research by Phinney, 2003 extended these approaches by exploring the concept of ethnic identity which identifies ways in which individuals negotiate their connection with an ethnic group in multicultural societies. According to Phinney, ethnic identity forms one of the fundamental dimensions of cultural identity for many migrants and can be a strength that is psychological in nature. Indeed, there is an evident connection here; these studies point toward the very

important notion that strong ethnic identification enables migrants to be more resistant against cultural stress and have much higher self-esteem.

Yet another thinking point in researching migration has been the concept of cultural dissonance, or the conflict between different cultural values. A study by Ward and Kennedy (2001) demonstrated how this type of dissonance causes acculturative stress, whose symptoms include anxiety, depression, and social withdrawal. For instance, migrants from collectivist societies may struggle in individualistic host cultures where great value is placed on autonomy and self-expression.

There are numerous indications that the social support network acts as a stepping stone in combating accumturative stress. Indeed, with regard to migrants, in an investigation by Ryan et al. (2008), it was determined that migrants who can develop close social contacts both in their ethnic group and in the dominant society show better psychological well-being. Better psychological adjustment has also been associated with the development of bicultural competence, or the ability to function effectively in both one's native and host cultures (LaFromboise, Coleman, & Gerton, 1993).

By contrast, the more recent literature underlines the crucial place of resilience in migrants' coping strategies with acculturative challenges. This dimension of resilience includes particular capacities for change and adjustment to the new environment while maintaining continuity in one's sense of self. According to Luthar et al. (2000), resilience was not simply a protective trait but also a dynamic process which could be fostered through supportive environments, personal methods of coping, and psychological flexibility.

Methods

This review is based on the literature that relates the concept of migration with two other concepts: cultural identity and coping mechanisms. The review shall cover both theoretical frameworks and empirical studies published in peerreviewed journals from psychology, sociology, and migration studies. Articles had to be selected based on their relevance to the key concepts: acculturation, cultural identity, and coping strategies in a migration context. The eligibility criteria were, therefore, set to ensure a balance in the representation of different geographic contexts in order to have a full understanding of the issue from a global perspective. The synthesis of data from these studies using this method identified common themes and gaps in the literature. This can be rather helpful in the thorough teasing out of how migrants negotiate identity and cultural stress in a globalized world.

Results and Discussion

The literature shows that very often, cultural identity is a core issue in migrants' experience, while the process of acculturation is the most central. Migrants who adopt integration strategies allow them to balance their heritage and host cultures more easily and have higher levels of psychological well-being than those who feel themselves to be marginalized or separated. As research also points out, biculturals tend more often than not to be flexible and better equipped for coping with the challenges of their life in a pluralist environment.

Contrariwise, migrants who experience cultural dissonance have an increased vulnerability to acculturative stress, which may manifest in the form of psychological malady such as depression and anxiety. In this respect, for example, Ward and Kennedy's (2001) refer that migrants from a collectivist culture will experience more problem in an individualist society because of the emotional arousal that takes place on account of their value contradiction.

In this respect, some of the important mechanisms of coping with negative acculturative effects include social support and bicultural competence. Migrants who are able to establish a strong social network either within their cultural group or in the greater society are most likely to experience fewer psychological problems. The networks provide emotional support, practical advice, and contact. Another common aspect that arises is the concept of resilience, whereby researches indicate that resilient migrants are more capable of coping with the hassles of migration and therefore have a more congruent coherent sense of cultural identity.

The literature further identifies the critical role of the host societies in ensuring the incorporation of migrants. Policies dealing with multiculturalism, resource access, and intergroup contact can influence migrants' well-being and their reported cultural stress. This indicates the nature of interaction in the process of acculturation, with migrants and the host societies contributing to the respective outcomes.

Conclusion

Literature shows that migration into a globalized world has significant implications for both cultural identity and psychological well-being. Migrants always need to negotiate lives in relation to holding on to their heritage and fitting into the new cultural environments. The development of acculturation strategies, mechanisms for coping, and resilience are the critical influencers in shaping how individuals navigate these challenges. The evidence is that migrants who use integration strategies and develop bicultural competence are more likely to thrive in new environments. Moreover, the support of social networks and inclusive host societies is necessary to nurture successful cultural adaptation. Policy and

community initiatives directed at enhancing migrant resilience and well-being are issues that call for further investigation.

References

1. Alqahtani, A., & Alsubaie, A. (2023). Acculturation and mental health outcomes among migrants: The role of resilience and social support. Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 25(2), 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-022-01491-3

2. Berry, J. W., & Hou, F. (2020). Acculturation, discrimination, and wellbeing among second-generation immigrants in Canada. Journal of International Migration and Integration, 21(2), 383-399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-019-00730-2

3. Bhugra, D., & Becker, M. A. (2022). Migration, cultural identity, and mental health. The Lancet Psychiatry, 9(2), 162-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00356-5

4. Capotorto, G., Pineda, J., & Hecht, M. L. (2022). Cultural identity negotiation and mental health in migration contexts: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 89, 79-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2021.09.005

5. Chen, S. X., Benet-Martínez, V., & Ng, J. C. (2021). Biculturalism and dual identity processes: An integrative review and new directions. Annual Review of Psychology, 72, 579-606. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-072720-102540

6. Chen, W., Ling, J., & Renzaho, A. M. N. (2020). Socioeconomic and psychological impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on migrant populations in Australia. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(18), 6715. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186715

7. Chirkov, V., & Sorokina, A. (2020). Acculturation of migrants: Theory, research, and applications. Psychology and Society, 12(3), 145-170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-019-00722-2

8. Dutta, U., & Singh, S. (2022). Migrant resilience: Coping mechanisms and support systems in host countries. International Migration Review, 56(4), 918-939. https://doi.org/10.1177/01979183211044212

9. Fathi, M., & Noble, G. (2021). Negotiating belonging: Migrant youth, identity, and language use. Journal of Youth Studies, 24(8), 1036-1052. https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2021.1909401

10. Fernández-Reino, M., & Sumption, M. (2022). Migrants' identities and political engagement in host societies: A systematic review. Comparative Migration Studies, 10(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-022-00280-x

11. Garcini, L. M., Domenech Rodríguez, M., & Wendorf Muhs, S. (2021). Exploring the effects of migration-related stress on mental health: A comparative study of first- and second-generation immigrants. Journal of Latinx Psychology, 9(4), 346-361. https://doi.org/10.1037/lat0000202

12. Glazer, S., & Nguyen, C. (2021). Coping mechanisms and cultural adaptation among immigrants in multicultural settings. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 52(5), 427-446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221211012543</u>

13. ugli Ganiev, A. A., & Wijayantini, B. (2024). Psychological Barriers to Economic Mobility: Learned Helplessness, Self-Efficacy, and Scarcity Mindset. Global Perspectives in Economics, Business, and Real Estate, 1(1), 11-20.

14. Ganiev, A. (2023). THE ROLE OF DIRECTNESS LEVEL AND SOCIAL FACTORS IN THE REALIZATION OF COMPLAINING SPEECH ACT: A CROSS-CULTURAL STUDY. Interpretation and researches, 1(11).

15. Alisher o'g'li, G. A. 2. Kambarov N. Bola ruhiyatini tarbiyalashda artterepeyaning ahamiyati. Maqola. Namangan, 2023. 3. https://minikar. ru/uz/health/socialno-psihologicheskaya-gotovnost-podrostkov-k-samostoyatelnoi.